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Introduction

The broader and deeper the scope of the redesign effort of a hospital, the more likely redesign produces system-wide transformation. For the purposes of this project, “redesign” and “system transformation” will both be used to describe the desired process outcomes.

In October 2003, Denver Health began a major effort to redesign/transform the process of care in the hospital in a comprehensive manner. This project was supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality through Contract No. 290-00-0014.

This document presents the following information:

• A brief description of Denver Health gives context for the redesign process. It enables others to identify the attributes of their system that are similar to or different from those of Denver Health and to assess how these attributes may influence their application of the approach to redesign described below.

• Forces that compel health care systems to embark on redesign or system transformation.

• Steps to be taken in planning for redesign/system transformation. In general, the steps are listed and discussed in the temporal sequence in which they occurred. Following these steps in this sequence will provide a robust approach for planning redesign.

• Strategies for translating information gathered into proposed projects for implementation. This is presented to show the depth and breadth of activities which can emerge from the planning process. Some of the proposed projects which emerged from Denver Health’s planning process may be different from those that would emerge from another institution’s planning, depending in part on the forces that affect the institution and the information arising from its external and internal data gathering. However, delineating the projects provides examples for consideration.

• Potential metrics for use in the implementation phase. The metrics would vary to some extent, depending on the nature of the projects to be undertaken. However, the system metrics that suggested here should be useful capturing meaningful change at the system level.

The information detailed in this report provides an approach to redesign utilized by Denver Health. It is presented here with the intent to help others who wish to undertake the process of redesign or system transformation.

The implementation phase has just begun, so this document does not provide information on the actual implementation of the redesign process or data on outcomes of redesign.

Description of Denver Health

Denver Health is a large integrated, urban, academic safety net institution. Various aspects of this system are listed below.
Components of the Integrated System
- 911 system for City and County of Denver.
- 398-bed acute care hospital with Level I trauma center.
- 8 family health centers (federally qualified health centers).
- 12 school-based clinics.
- Public health department for the City and County of Denver.
- 100-bed non-medical detoxification center.
- Correctional care facility.
- HMO for Medicaid, Child Health Plan, and commercial product.
- Call center—regional poison center, drug line for national companies, nurse advice line, translation line, centralized scheduling.

Demographics of Population Served
- Approximately 150,000 individual users.
- Approximately 70 percent of patients are members of ethnic minority populations.
- 27 percent of the patients are non-English speakers.
- 42 percent of charges are for uninsured patients.
- $285 million in care to uninsured patients in 2004.

Organizational Aspects of the System
- Is an independent government entity.
- Employs 4,100 individuals, including physicians.
- Has a formal academic affiliation with the University of Colorado School of Medicine.
- Provides a learning environment for 160 interns and residents at any given time, integrated with University of Colorado School of Medicine.
- Employs physicians who have a full time faculty appointments at University of Colorado School of Medicine.

Information Technology Structure
- $225 million investment in information technology since 1997.
- Integrated information technology across the entire system.
- Single patient identifier for the integrated system.
- Single electronic medical record across the integrated system.
- Integration of financial, clinical, radiology, pharmacy, and laboratory data.
- Computers in all clinic offices; chartless environment.
- Initial phases of roll out of computerized provider order entry.

All Denver Health community health centers, the hospital, emergency services, pharmacy, and laboratory systems use the same unique identifier for patients; thus, outpatient, inpatient, and ancillary service data can be linked to evaluate health services utilization, medication use, and provider compliance with guidelines.

Forces Which Compel System Redesign/Transformation

There are many reasons which compel all health care systems to embark on process redesign/system transformation. These include:
• Continued rise in hospital costs.
• Concerns about patient safety and quality.
• Little change in the core health care processes over decades.
• Silos of care provision.
• Little application of knowledge from other industries.
• Enormous redundancies in care delivery processes.
• Numerous patient handoffs.
• Major workforce shortages.
• Employee dissatisfaction.
• Resident work hour limitations.
• Limited involvement of the patients and their families in hospital care.
• Patient dissatisfaction.

Although the impact of each one of these factors may vary from system to system, all of these factors impact every health care delivery system to some degree. At Denver Health, of most concern at this point in time were the following: costs, safety, quality, lack of change in processes through the application of tools from other industries, redundancies in processes, and workforce shortages. This led us to focus on tools which addressed these major concerns. It is critically important for the leadership of a system to articulate these forces and how they necessitate change.

**Redesign Planning Steps**

After the decision on the need for system redesign was made, steps were taken to plan for process redesign as follows:

1. Assess readiness for major redesign.
2. Establish the perspectives for redesign.
3. Create a structure for the redesign process.
4. Gather external data.
   • Conduct a literature review.
   • Form an External Steering Committee.
   • Conduct site visits.
5. Gather internal data.
   • Conduct employee focus groups.
   • Conduct patient focus groups.
   • Observe current processes.
   • Present data.
6. Choose tools to enable redesign implementation.
   • Tools that facilitate process change.
   • Tools that facilitate change in the environment, culture, and/or workforce.

It should be noted that this planning process took place over a 12-month period. The duration of this planning process underscores the need for a well thought-out sequence of events, which
must be accomplished before a major comprehensive redesign/system transformation effort can begin.

**Step 1: Assess Readiness for Major Redesign**

Before launching a major redesign effort the leadership should address the readiness for embarking on hospital redesign or system transformation. This can be assessed in part by asking and answering the questions below:

- What other redesign projects have been completed?
- What were the lessons learned from these projects?
- Does the workforce believe that there were benefits from implementing these projects?
- Is there a compelling reason(s) for redesign?
- Are top administrative, physician, and nursing leadership committed to redesign?
- Can champions be identified and developed?
- Is the culture committed to data and information sharing?
- Does the workforce have the needed skills and tools to accomplish redesign?
- Does the system have the resources to undertake the redesign process?

It is important for both leadership and employees to identify and examine past redesign efforts. Once past redesign projects are identified, those responsible for managing the projects should develop a document which:

- Describes project goals.
- Determines if goals were achieved.
- Describes the barriers to achieving the goals.
- Delineates the factors contributing to success.
- Identifies lessons learned.

Sharing these past projects with everyone creates a sense that the organization has experience with successful redesign projects and therefore can successfully tackle system redesign. For example, Denver Health’s previous redesign efforts include improvements in both business and clinical processes. Business redesign efforts included:

- The transition of the entire system from a department of city government to an independent government entity.
- The development and implementation of a comprehensive information technology strategy for the entire hospital system.

Clinical redesign efforts included:

- Complete restructuring and integration of behavioral health with other system components.
- Redesign of primary care processes.
- Implementation of an open access system.
- Diabetes disease management system.
- Structured community outreach effort.
At the beginning of this current redesign effort the participants in these past redesign efforts delineated the lessons learned. Many of these past lessons were validated as the current planning process evolved:

- A compelling reason to change is needed.
- Redesign must address issues people are battling. For providers, compelling reasons are:
  - Improving their ability to provide care.
  - Improving the quality of patient care.
- All stakeholders need to be at the table.
- Frontline people need to be involved and heard.
- A leader for the change is crucial.
- Leaders of change need the skill set to define issues and accomplish the change.
- Consultants can be very helpful in providing expertise, but internal people need to lead the change.
- Balance is needed between acquiring data to define the problem, implementing the intervention, and evaluating outcomes within a short time frame.
- The need for cultural change must not be underestimated.
- A well thought-out communication plan is necessary.
- Key message must be something everyone can understand.
- Expect and communicate failures, holdups, etc. as well as successes.
- Education and training are essential.
- Appropriate infrastructure must be available.
- Education and training are essential.
- Sustainability requires transformation; inability to go back to the old way is the best approach to sustainability.

Many compelling reasons for change were identified. For management, a compelling reason for change is often financial, but for providers the most compelling reason is improvement in patient care or the process by which they can provide care. (This will be discussed further in Step 2.)

Both top hospital management and clinical leaders must be engaged for successful hospital system redesign; the broader in scope the project the higher the level of staff who must be engaged in the redesign process. (This will be discussed in more detail in Step 3.)

Hospital system transformation depends on democratization of data; the more comfortable the organization is with sharing and understanding data, the easier transformation will be. (This will be discussed further in Steps 4 and 5.)

It appears that most health care workers do not have the necessary skill set for implementing major redesign. Therefore, the needed skills and tools must be identified and provided. (This will be discussed in more detail in Step 6.)

The system must have and be willing to commit sufficient resources to bring a project to a predetermined endpoint. Failure to do this will undermine future efforts.
It may not be necessary to answer all of these questions on readiness before beginning the hospital redesign process, but there should be plans to quickly address all or most of these issues.

**Step 2: Establish the Perspectives for Redesign**

It is valuable to establish perspectives from which the redesign process will be viewed. These perspectives will serve as guides to the redesign effort and will help focus process changes. Figure 1 served as a template of the perspectives for redesign at Denver Health and has helped guide the transformation planning effort. Health care systems are very complex, and the processes of care are so interrelated that multiple concurrent perspectives seem both valuable and necessary for successful redesign. For system-wide transformation, the perspectives for redesign and the areas of activity should include:

- Quality
- Safety
- Customer service
- Efficiency
- Architecture/physical environment
- Workforce development, including physician development

**Figure 1. Perspectives for Transformation**

![Perspectives for Transformation Diagram]

Figure 1 shows that architecture, quality, customer service, workforce development, patient safety and efficiency all are perspectives from which to drive transformation. Each of these perspectives creates feedback loops between and within each perspective, represented by the dotted circle touching each of the perspectives and the broken line emanating from transformation back to the perspectives. For example, utilizing quality as a perspective can result
in transformations in processes that not only improve quality but also improve customer service. All of the perspectives are surrounded and embedded in the culture of the organization.

The process transformations driven from these perspectives are supported by information technology. Information technology is not the driver but rather the facilitating mechanism for achieving the desired change. However, it should be noted that Denver Health already has a sophisticated information technology system in place.

Based on the research conducted for this project, it appears that other health care systems that have undertaken redesign/system transformation have adopted some of these perspectives and have used tools that translate these perspectives into action. For example:

• Virginia Mason Medical Center used the Toyota Production System, or Lean, and appears to have focused on efficiency.
• The Department of Veterans Affairs health care system appears to have utilized the perspective of safety to drive its transformation. Six Sigma tools can be used to implement redesign from this perspective.
• Intermountain Health Care of Salt Lake City and those institutions engaged in the Institute for Healthcare Improvement’s Pursuing Perfection projects appear to have adopted the perspective of quality to drive system change.
• Baptist Hospital, Inc. in Pensacola, Florida, appears to have primarily utilized the perspective of customer service to implement system transformation. Utilization of the Baldrige criteria appears to facilitate this approach.
• The Planetree Institute model of patient-centered care includes concepts and new ways to design healing environments in health care systems and focuses on the physical environment for transforming health care delivery.
• Some institutions that pursue magnet status in nursing appear to focus on workforce development to achieve redesign. To some degree, the use of Clinical Microsystem approaches which emphasize team functioning is a workforce development perspective.

A number of these tools are discussed in Step 6.

Clearly, these perspectives may overlap both in concept and outcome. Keeping all these perspectives in focus as one begins redesign of health care systems will help prevent suboptimization. For example, if the redesign initiative focused solely on efficiency, this could negatively affect customer service or workforce development.

It is important to remember that system redesign or transformation must be embedded in the culture of the organization as reflected in Figure 1. Establishing an organizational culture committed to redesign or transformation cannot be underestimated. There are many approaches that help create this culture. These include, but are not limited to:

• Giving the project an identity.
• Communicating regularly with the workforce regarding the need for change.
• Communicating the progress and impact of redesign efforts.
• Actively engaging the workforce in the process of redesign.
• Training the workforce to use tools that empower them to participate in the change.
• Meaningfully engaging the leadership.
Jonkoping County Council in Sweden, one of the leaders in health system redesign and transformation, named a major redesign project “The Esther Project,” thereby providing a human face to transforming the care process from primary care through hospital care. The Institute for Healthcare Improvement projects were called Pursuing Perfection. At Denver Health, the redesign project was entitled “Getting it Right: Perfecting the Patient Experience.”

As in all change process efforts, communication is necessary. The communication approaches found helpful at Denver Health were:

- Regular columns in the employee newsletter written by the Chief Executive Officer (CEO).
- Lectures and discussions on the project to leadership, physicians, and middle managers.
- An employee newsletter devoted to redesign.
- A specific intranet site devoted to Getting It Right: Perfecting the Patient Experience.
- Employee forums with the CEO.
- Employees creating a code of behavior.

These perspectives were proposed early in the course of the project and confirmed by the review of the literature, discussions with the External Steering Committee, and site visits. These approaches are discussed in detail in Step 4.

**Step 3: Create a Structure for the Redesign Process**

Three components are needed in creating a structure for redesign:

- Establishing a point person to lead redesign.
- Developing a team to oversee the planning approach.
- Developing a broad-based internal group of leaders and champions.

The higher in the organization the lead person, the more likely that the redesign effort will be operationalized and sustained. All employees will understand the importance of this effort when it is led by a person of responsibility for the hospital system. At Denver Health, the CEO/Medical Director leads the redesign project.

A core project team must also be formed. This group carries out many of the actual approaches used. Its composition depends heavily on the scope of the project. However, regardless of scope, one person must assume the role of project manager. The core team must include individuals with the competency to gather, analyze, and interpret the data. The addition of an industrial engineer or operations management engineer is an important member of the project team. The Denver Health core team included:

- Industrial engineer
- CEO/Medical Director
- Value Analysis Coordinator (a nurse with clinical expertise)
- Director of Health Services Research
- Data and research analysts
It is equally important to have broad-based operational support through the creation of an Internal Steering Committee whose members include providers and administrators at various levels of leadership in the organization and in many departments of the hospital system. This group can become the leaders and champions of redesign throughout the organization.

The Internal Steering Committee should review information gathered at various stages of the redesign process, determine whether the information is valid and identify potential strategies for improvement. The members of this committee will also be key in assisting with the cultural change within the organization. Members can include:

- Chief Executive Officer
- Chief Operations Officer
- Chief Information Officer
- Chief Financial Officer
- Chief Nursing Officer
- Chief of Human Resources
- Medical Director
- Chief of the medical staff
- Clinical department chairs
- Director of Quality Improvement
- Nursing Administration
- Pharmacists
- Laboratory Director
- Materials Management Director
- Nursing staff
- Physician staff

### Step 4: Gather External Data

There is always wisdom in learning from others. We found there were three helpful approaches in learning from others:

- Conduct a review of the literature.
- Form an External Steering Committee.
- Conduct site visits.

#### Conduct a Review of the Literature

Reviewing both the health care and non-health care redesign literature is both necessary and important. A separate literature review, focused on redesign efforts, was conducted (see Appendix A). This review utilized the six perspectives of quality, safety, customer service, efficiency, architecture/environment, and workforce development illustrated in Figure 1. Gathering this information is helpful in understanding not only current and past redesign initiatives, but also the applied theory behind the tools that have been used.
Form an External Steering Committee

During the beginning stages of Denver Health’s system transformation, it was beneficial to create an External Steering Committee consisting of leaders in health care and other industries. This committee included representatives from the following:

- Hospitality industry.
- Supply chain management industry.
- Information technology industry.
- Professional health care organizations.
- Architecture firms.
- Quality organizations.
- Regulatory entities.
- Payers.
- Other health care organizations.

The non-health care members provided different perspectives in reviewing data and different approaches for achieving meaningful redesign. These members had specific experience related to successful redesign and process improvement. Health care representatives provided insight into strategies they had tried and lessons they had learned from health care improvement projects.

This external group met quarterly and members had individual quarterly telephone calls with the CEO. The quarterly committee meetings were structured half-day meetings chaired by the CEO. The group provided guidance regarding alternative approaches and insights into data gathering and interpretation.

Some illustrative questions posed to the External Steering Committee over the course of the year were:

- Were the lessons learned from past projects likely to be helpful in guiding the current effort?
- Which institutions/industries should we consider for site visits and calls?
- Is our assessment of the lessons learned from these visits the ones which are likely to be helpful in our efforts?
- What is the ideal balance between training all employees and highly training a subset of employees?
- Can many small projects lead to system transformation?
- How many projects are enough?
- How do we avoid suboptimization and unintended consequences?
- What is best way to display and analyze all the process flow data?
- What system metrics should we use to measure success?

Conduct Site Visits

If site visits occur, one must ask where to go, whom to send, and what data to collect. It is suggested that site visits or conference calls include both health care and non-health care industries. There is much that can be learned from the non-health care industries, and it is important that they be included. Examples of industries that could be visited or contacted are:
• Aerospace.
• Auto.
• Airline.
• Information technology.
• Manufacturing.
• Distribution or shipping.
• Service sector.

These industries have developed strategies and approaches to improve quality, efficiency, customer service, and safety. Some of these strategies and approaches can be applied to the health care environment to redesign health care systems.

Health care systems have not reached the depth and breadth of redesign that other industries have achieved, but it is valuable to visit health care systems as well. Examples of health care institutions that could be visited include those that:
• Have published or presented major redesign projects.
• Have won awards.
• Are magnet hospitals.
• Are part of the Pursing Perfection project.
• Have major new construction emphasizing a healing environment or safety.
• Have pioneered implementation of health information technology.

Nothing can take the place of a site visit to another organization, but much can be gained by a properly structured conference call with a leader in the redesign effort at that institution. The time and dollars saved by having a conference call rather than traveling to another site can be considerable. This is particularly true for some sites that charge fees for visits.

It is recommended the team of individuals participate in the site visits and conference calls. The team should include a clinical person—a physician or nurse, an analyst and/or engineer, and a member of the Internal Steering Committee. These visits not only generate insights, but they also create organizational champions. Of note, when Virginia Mason began its system transformation effort, the entire leadership team was sent to a factory in Japan for 2 weeks to work the lines and learn first hand the Toyota Production System. This hands-on intensive approach has continued.

Before any visit or conference call is undertaken, a standard set of questions should be developed. Form A is a sample list of site visit and conference call questions.

**Step 5: Gather Internal Data**

In addition to what can be learned from others, there is also much to learn from one’s own organization. Internal data gathering includes the following:
• Conduct employee focus groups.
• Conduct patient focus groups.
• Observe current processes.
• Present data.
Conduct Employee Focus Groups

Employee focus groups are a method for gathering data, informing the workforce, and helping create a culture to support transformation. These focus groups help to determine the status of the institution from the employee’s viewpoint. To accomplish this, it is recommended that standard questions be developed and asked of all employees. Questions can be sent in advance so employees will be ready to contribute, and those who are unable to attend can send in their answers. Sample questions that can be asked of employees are listed in Form B.

It is suggested that the focus groups be divided by categories of personnel, such as housekeeping or respiratory therapists. It is best to conduct clinical and nonclinical groups separately as their issues appear to be quite different. Focus groups were conducted with the following groups of Denver Health employees:

- Food and environmental services.
- Ward clerks.
- Speech Therapists.
- Occupational therapists.
- Physical therapists.
- Laboratory technicians.
- Licensed practical nurses, health care technicians.
- Radiology technicians.
- Respiratory therapists.
- Pharmacists.
- Nursing leadership, charge nurses, nurse educators, nurse practitioners, nursing council.
- Materials management.
- Engineering.
- Physicians.

These focus groups should be conducted by someone at the executive level as opposed to immediate supervisors so that ideas and information can be freely exchanged. During the Denver Health project, all the groups were facilitated by the CEO. The meeting should be held at a time that will make it easy for the employees to attend, and the meetings should be no longer than 1½ hours. Minutes should be taken or meetings should be tape recorded so that common themes can be documented.

The minutes can then be sent to supervisors and executives responsible for these areas. Some employee suggestions can and should be acted on immediately even if they are not related to redesign. This immediate response will help build support and reinforce a culture for redesign of a hospital system. It is critically important to inform all participants that redesign will take time; and if they do not see their suggestions acted on immediately, it does not mean they are being ignored.

The cross-cutting issues identified by employees can help prioritize areas for redesign during the implementation phase. At Denver Health, important cross-cutting issues identified to date from the employee focus groups are:
• Desire for respect from other disciplines and employee groups.
• Need for effective communication across disciplines.
• Need for clear clinical escalation processes.
• Desire for increased autonomy of non-physician health care professionals.
• Need for process streamlining.
• Need for greater ease of accessing small equipment such as wheelchairs.

**Conduct Patient Focus Groups**

Patient and family focus groups can provide insight into the care provided and other hospital experiences during a patient’s stay. It is important to include both the patient and at least one family member involved in the patient’s stay because each experiences different aspects of the care processes.

It is suggested that patient/family focus groups consist of no more than 10 patients and 10 family members. This size allows for an expected no-show rate of 1-2 families and allows for each family to contribute during the 1½-hour time frame. It is best to recruit patients who have had a recent inpatient stay, such as within the previous 6 months.

If your institution has a substantial socioeconomic, cultural, and language diversity in the patient population served, you may find it helpful to have different focus groups. For example, Denver Health had four sets of focus groups:

- Insured English speakers.
- Uninsured English speakers.
- Insured Spanish speakers.
- Uninsured Spanish speakers.

If the patient and family member agree to attend, a confirmation letter can be sent to the patient (Form C), which includes time, place, and directions. Recruiting is also improved if a stipend or gift can be offered.

It is suggested that a set of standardized questions be developed for the focus group. As noted above, the time should be limited to 1½ hours. Form D provides sample patient/family focus group facilitator questions used at Denver Health.

If the results of these focus groups are expected to be used for research, the protocol will need to be approved by the human subjects institutional review board (IRB). Form E is an example of an IRB-approved patient and family consent form for participation. If the focus group information is to be used for operational purposes only and is not to be published or presented, IRB approval will not be necessary.

Patient focus groups at Denver Health revealed that patients want to be active participants in their care through shared information and shared responsibility. This concern must be considered in redesign.
Observe Current Processes

It is essential to understand current processes before process redesign can begin. The value of this step cannot be underestimated both in the planning and subsequent choice of tools for the implementation phase.

There are different approaches to depict process data. Each approach can provide different insights and answer different questions. Therefore, experimentation with data presentation is extremely helpful during the redesign planning phase.

Before processes are mapped, it is necessary to identify who will conduct the observations and to define the scope of the process to be observed. It is also necessary to define a beginning, an end, and a methodology for all of the processes to be observed.

The mapping team should include a nurse and analytical person and an industrial or operations engineer from the core analytical team. Observation ability and mapping improve with time; therefore standardization of the data collection tool and consistency in members of the team may be important.

During process mapping, the following information is collected:

• Name of process.
• Process owner.
• Process output/product.
• Who is involved in delivering the process.
• Who cares about the process.
• Extent of the process to be mapped.
• Activities that define the process.
• Start point.
• End point.

The process-flow mapping can be conducted with patients, staff, and ancillary services with the mapping of movement of people, materials, and information. It is possible to map out any process or system in the hospital. It is advisable to meet with the supervisor of the group to be observed before proceeding, both to alleviate concerns about redesign project team staff who will be gathering information from the department or unit and to ask the supervisor what he or she perceives as problems.

It is vital to monitor and document all of the important events during a patient’s stay, staff work shift, or the movement of materials and information. Through this information, operational staff will be able to identify the following types of events that can be changed to improve processes:

• Waste (non-value-added time) such as travel or waiting time, searching, and gathering.
• Bottlenecks.
• Redundancies.
• Points of dissatisfaction.
• Inefficient use of workforce skills.
Forms F and G are sample data collection tools for mapping process flows. Appendix B illustrates standard definitions for the patient and staff activities in flows. Standardizing definitions is important to ensure that the observers are documenting activities in a similar manner, which improves the reliability of the observations.

A selection of business processes, ancillary service processes, and clinical processes will provide an overview of the range of hospital processes. Some of the processes and departments that may be selected for process mapping include:

- Admission process.
- Discharge process.
- Food service.
- Materials management.
- Phlebotomy.
- Pediatric patient stay.
- Medical patient stay.
- Trauma patient stay.
- Obstetric patient stay.

The admission and discharge processes are the patient entry and exit points. The clinical departments may be selected based on the highest volume clinical services. Patients, staff, and materials can be mapped for each of the relevant processes or departments.

Providers selected for observation include nurses, interns, residents, and attending physicians working in pediatric, medical, obstetric, and trauma services. It is helpful to start with a relatively simple process such as food service before attempting more complex processes such as discharge.

Forms H and I are examples of IRB-approved consent forms for participation by staff and patients, respectively. If this information is to be used for operational purposes only and is not to be published or presented, IRB approval will not be necessary.

**Present Data**

The information in this section illustrates different ways of presenting data to elucidate different problems. Please note that data as examples of redesign efforts that were undertaken are not presented here; process redesign did not occur in this planning phase.

Once data are collected, the data can be entered or scanned into a spreadsheet for presentation in a variety of ways to address different questions. Figure 2 depicts a sample of data entries using the primary data collection tool. It shows the type of data collection tool that can be used to gather information for describing processes.

In this example, the processes of a staff member are being recorded—in this case, an intern—using start time and end time for each activity, who the intern interacted with, and the category of the activity (Appendix B). The description of the activity can take two forms: an open-ended narrative description and a predefined categorical description. The predefined categorical
description is useful for illustrating the data. This data collection tool can also be used to describe patient activities and processes.

Time and type of activity are the major units in which processes are measured. Some of the ways that the data can be used to depict bottlenecks, redundancies, points of dissatisfaction, and inappropriate work force issues include the following:

- Pie chart
- Pareto diagram
- Value stream map
- Area diagram
- Top-down format

Figure 2. Sample Primary Data Collection Tool: Intern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Start</th>
<th>Time End</th>
<th>Activity Duration</th>
<th>Staff Member</th>
<th>Interacted with</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Shift</th>
<th>Activity, Notes</th>
<th>Activity Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>7:07</td>
<td>0:07</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Writes progress note for patient</td>
<td>Charting (progress note)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:07</td>
<td>7:30</td>
<td>0:23</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waiting for rounds to start</td>
<td>Waiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30</td>
<td>9:08</td>
<td>1:38</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td>Physician team</td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>AM grand rounds</td>
<td>Rounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:08</td>
<td>9:35</td>
<td>0:27</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Entering orders on Computer</td>
<td>Entering orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:35</td>
<td>10:44</td>
<td>1:09</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td>Cardiology team</td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiology rounds</td>
<td>Rounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:44</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>0:16</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>To see patient on 8 east</td>
<td>Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>11:02</td>
<td>0:02</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss patient with resident</td>
<td>Consult with provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:02</td>
<td>11:03</td>
<td>0:01</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>Down time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:03</td>
<td>11:09</td>
<td>0:06</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/14/2004 D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Entering orders on Computer</td>
<td>Entering orders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data in this figure are for illustrative purposes only.

Pie chart. Pie charts are helpful in providing a visual representation of the relative size of a component compared to the whole and other components. Figure 3 is an example of a trauma resident who was followed during a 24-hour shift. Time is the unit that defines this pie chart, and the different activities define different pieces of the pie.

Figure 3 distinguishes between active and nonactive time using the activity categories from the data collection tool. This type of chart answers questions about staff tasks and activities during the shift and what percentage of staff time each activity takes.
Figure 3. Sample Pie Chart: Trauma Resident (24-hour Shift)

Trauma Resident
24-Hour Shift
Active vs. Down, Sleep and Call Time

**Down, Sleep and Call Time**
Total: 4 hours 11 minutes
• 2h 27m sleep
• 1h 2m down time
• 42m in call room

**Active Time**
Total: 19 hours 49 minutes
• 3h 54m consulting with other providers
• 3h 7m attending to patient
• 2h 42m charting
• 2h 25m in surgery
• 1h 45m travel

**Consult with Provider**
16%

**Attend to Patient**
13%

**Charting**
11%

**Surgery**
10%

**Travel**
7%

**Sleep**
10%

**Down Time**
4%

**Call Room**
3%

**Other Active Time**
26%

**Note:** Data in this chart are for illustrative purposes only.

**Pareto diagram.** Pareto diagrams display as a bar graph the activities being studied, arranged in order from largest to smallest. This tool is helpful in displaying staff activities and in depicting the ranking of activities.

Figure 4 is a Pareto diagram that displays the time each activity consumes. Figure 5 displays the components of each bar as the individual activities that compromise the totality of the bar. This illustrates the total number of activities and the number of interruptions. For instance, Figure 5 shows that the trauma nurse attended to patients on 38 separate occasions. Therefore, this graph identifies job interruptions, although not all starts and stops of activities can be considered an interruption. (A value stream map, described below, can help distinguish between the natural beginning and end of an activity and an interruption.)
Note: This Pareto diagram displays activities, ranked from those activities that consume the most time to those that consume the least. For example, attending to patients consumed the most time at 5.14 hours, with charting ranking the second most time-consuming activity. Data in this chart are for illustrative purposes only.
Figure 5. Sample Pareto Diagram: Trauma Nurse and Interruptions (24-Hour Observation)

Note: This Pareto diagram displays the same trauma nurse’s activities during the same 24-hour period illustrated in Figure 4. However, Figure 5 also breaks each bar into the frequency with which a particular activity began and ended. For example, the “attend to patient” bar has 38 components reflecting 38 separate times the nurse attended to a patient. Data in this chart are for illustrative purposes only.
Value stream map. A value stream map (i.e., a value-added or non-value-added [Visio] diagram) and process flow chart allow for the depiction of information and activity flow, indicating value-added and non-value-added activities for any type of process. These tools are frequently utilized in the Toyota Production System. Different shapes represent different events, such as activity, interruption, travel, wait, and downtime. This approach can help identify bottlenecks, redundancies, points of dissatisfaction, and inappropriate workforce issues.

A value stream is all the actions (both value-added and non-value-added) currently required to bring a product (blood draw, patient discharge, patient meals, patient x-ray, etc.) through the main flows essential to every product. A value stream map takes into account the activities that make up a process and the management and information systems that support the basic process.

A value stream map is useful for:

- Helping visualize more than just the single-process level.
- Identifying the sources of waste in the value stream.
- Providing a common language for talking about hospital processes.
- Making decisions about the flow apparent so that they can be discussed.
- Tying together Lean concepts and techniques, thus helping to avoid targeting processes that can lead to isolated islands of improvement instead of improvement in whole-production processes.
- Forming the basis of an implementation plan.
- Showing the linkage between information flow and patient/staff flow.

Non-value-added tasks are tasks that do not contribute to what a patient/insurer would pay for, such as tasks that do not transform the product/output (x-ray, blood draw, discharge). These include:

- Overproduction, typically using staff or equipment faster than necessary so they will have processes to complete.
- Waiting for a person or machine to complete an automatic process or for supplies or staff to arrive.
- Unnecessary transportation and rearrangement of people or materials prior to processing.
- Process design flaws, requiring staff to intervene more often than necessary.
- Stock on hand beyond any need to support normal operations or recovery from failures.
- Unnecessary motion for searching, reaching, carrying, or positioning of equipment and supplies.
- Production of defective goods.

Figure 6 is a value stream map of a phlebotomist. This diagram distinguishes non-value-added activities, such as travel time, from value-added activities such as the blood draw itself. The time for value-added and non-value-added activities is represented by the “castle wall” line at the bottom of the diagram. The non-value-added activities are depicted through the high portion of the wall and the value-added activities are represented through the dips in the wall. The non-value-added time may be further distinguished into necessary and unnecessary activities. The necessary non-value-added activities should be minimized and the unnecessary activities should be eliminated. Figure 6 also depicts the communication activities involved in the process from the point of receiving a request for a blood draw to creating the product of a laboratory result.
Figure 6. Sample Value Stream Map: Phlebotomy

PHLEBOTOMY HIGH-LEVEL VALUE STREAM MAP (CURRENT STATE)

Blood draw order is entered in POE

Nurse/Physician

Phlebotomist receives page

Phlebotomist checks requisitions at nursing station

Phlebotomist draws blood from patient

Phlebotomist drops blood sample in transport box

Transporter carries blood sample to lab

Core lab tests blood sample

Stat call

MICYS system

Lab results

Phlebotomy prints schedule for blood-draw (3 times/shift)

Phlebotomist walks to scheduled floor

Phlebotomist walks to patient room

Phlebotomist walks to transport box at nursing station

Transporter carries blood sample to core lab

4 Mins

2 Mins

1 Min

5 Mins

1 Min

N. A.

N. A.
**Area diagram.** Figure 7 depicts an area diagram and is a representation of movement of staff throughout a geographic area. This could be a hospital campus, building, or unit. This type of diagram is useful in showing excessive and unnecessary travel.

Figure 7 is an example of area diagram of movement through a hospital building for a phlebotomist. The circles depict stops and signify the start time for each activity—in this case, a blood draw. A pedometer may also be used to determine travel distance and would complement this data and illustration.

**Figure 7. Sample Area Diagram: Phlebotomy**

![Phlebotomy Flow Diagram](image)

*Note:* Data in this chart are for illustrative purposes only.

**Top-down format.** Figure 8 is a top-down format map. It shows the different activities, people, and their role in a process. This particular example illustrates the steps that occur between ordering a laboratory test and recording results in the medical chart. It also shows which person does each task.

This type of diagram can be helpful in understanding the number of handoffs and the number and type of staff involved in a process. This depiction can help identify redundancies and inefficient use of the workforce.
Observation of the current Denver Health process and the display of the data demonstrated that administrative, ancillary service, and clinical processes all offered many opportunities for improvement in efficiency, safety, customer service, quality, and workforce satisfaction. The observations particularly demonstrated the inefficiency in current processes including:

- Redundancies.
- Non-value-added activities, such as excessive travel.
- Numerous interruptions.

Redesign must address these core problems to be of maximal value.

**Figure 8. Sample Top-Down Format: Laboratory Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Nurse/Resident/Physician</th>
<th>Phlebotomist</th>
<th>Transporter</th>
<th>Lab Tech</th>
<th>Physician</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Blood draw Ordered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Phlebotomist receives blood order for print out from POE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Phlebotomist checks with nursing station on the floor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Blood draw recorded.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Blood draw received and analyzed by lab technician.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Results entered into lab information (MYCIS) system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Results entered into patient medical chart, ready for physician to review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data in this chart are for illustrative purposes only.

**Step 6: Choose the Tools To Enable Redesign Implementation**

The majority of the health care workforce currently lack the tools needed to implement system transformation. Therefore, identifying the tools to be used in system redesign is a critical step. There are a variety of tools that have been used by both health care and non-health care systems for redesign. Many of these tools can be divided into two types:

- Tools that facilitate process change.
- Tools that facilitate change in the environment, culture, and/or workforce.
Some of these tool sets and their key characteristics are listed below. References providing more details on these tools can be found in Appendix A. This is not an exhaustive list of potential tools but rather those that have been used by others in health care and those considered here.

Tools That Facilitate Process Change

**Plan, Do, Study Act (PDSA).** This is a model for testing ideas in rapid cycles that one believes may create an improvement. When undertaking an improvement to a system, there are three preliminary questions and four essential steps which are repeated until the desired outcome is achieved. PDSA builds in recognition that, with systems, it is unrealistic to expect change to produce the right result every time because there are often complex interactions and dependencies that can be disturbed in unexpected ways. It is always necessary to check that the predicted improvement has actually happened.

The three preliminary questions are:

- What are we trying to accomplish?
- How will we know that a change is an improvement?
- What changes can we make that will result in improvement?

The four steps are:

- **Plan:** Who will do the work and when?
  - What equipment or training do they need?
  - How will information for assessing success be collected and recorded?
  - When will progress be reviewed?

- **Do:** Do the work according to the plan.

- **Study:** Study the information gathered.
  - Was the desired outcome achieved? If not what actually happened?

- **Act:** Decide what action is needed; for example:
  - Adopt the change permanently.
  - Abandon the change.
  - Make some adjustments and start the cycle again.


**Lean (or the Toyota Production System).** Lean thinking is a way to work more efficiently and effectively while providing customers with what they want when they want it. It is a philosophy and set of tools that aims to eliminate waste from processes. It also focuses on what adds value in processes from the perspective of the customer. The frontline workers are heavily involved in this approach.

The 10 rules of Lean production can be summarized as follows:
1. Eliminate waste.
2. Minimize inventory.
3. Maximize flow.
4. Pull production from customer demand.
5. Meet customer requirements.
6. Do it right the first time.
7. Empower workers.
8. Design for rapid changeover.
9. Partner with suppliers.
10. Create a culture of continuous improvement.

While the primary focus is waste, the outcomes of utilizing Lean tools are efficiency, quality, and customer service. Implementation requires a commitment and support by management and participation of all the personnel within an organization to be successful. Some institutions have implemented Lean using an onsite trainer from industry. Additional information can be found at http://www.lean.org.

**Six Sigma.** Six Sigma is a disciplined, data-driven process that stresses eliminating defects and reducing variation while developing and delivering near-perfect products and services. This tool includes a rigorous improvement model known as DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve and Control).

Six Sigma is the goal, which means products and processes will experience only 3.4 defects per 1 million opportunities, or 99.99966 percent good. Six Sigma is a management strategy to use statistical tools and project work to achieve breakthrough profitability and quantum gains in quality. This is achieved by implementing process improvement, measurement-based strategies via Six Sigma improvement projects.

The Six Sigma approach may benefit those organizations where existing process improvement efforts may have not delivered the financial benefits promised and where productivity goals are not meeting targets. This approach fits with the safety and quality perspective of redesign. Additional information on this tool can be found at http://www.6-sigma.com/.

**Tools That Facilitate Change in the Environment, Culture, and/or Workforce**

**Baldrige Criteria for Performance Excellence.** The Baldrige criteria provide a business framework and tools to help improve organizational performance practices. The criteria are based on a customer- and process-centered approach that work to continually identify and improve key organizational processes with the goal of delivering better value to the customer.

The Baldrige core values and concepts include visionary leadership, patient-focused excellence, organizational and personal learning, valuing staff and partners, agility, focus on the future, managing for innovation, management by fact, social responsibility and community health, focus on results, and creating value and a systems perspective. It appears that one of its values in health
care is improving market share through achieving extraordinary customer service. Additional information can be found at http://www.quality.nist.gov/.

Clinical Microsystem. Clinical Microsystem refers to the work developed by Dartmouth College which focuses on the smallest replicable unit that actually does the work. This smallest unit not only includes a team of people, but also the local information systems, client populations, space, and work designs.

Clinical microsystems are the small functional, frontline units that provide most of the health care to most of the people. These units are the essential building blocks of the larger health care system. The quality and value of care produced by a large health system can be no better than the services generated by the small systems of which it is composed. The toolset used by these systems includes the “5Ps” (Purpose, Patients, Processes, Professionals, and Patterns).

Improvements in clinical microsystems lead to transformation of the workforce and the culture. As part of measuring the impact of this tool on workforce development, the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) has suggested the use of a series of 12 questions (“Q12”) that identify staff engagement developed by the Gallup Organization. Additional information can be found at http://clinicalmicrosystem.org and http://www.IHI.org.

Talent profiling. Talent profiling differs from other tools in that it has as its prime focus the worker rather than the process. Its goal is to get the right person in the right job, based on an in-depth understanding of the talent characteristics of each person and the most critically important characteristics needed to be successful in each role. Numerous consulting firms provide talent profiling services.

Consultants and training programs that teach these tools are currently adopting some concepts from each method for improvement such as “Lean Six Sigma.” Which tool is chosen may not be as important as the mere choice of a tool and the subsequent training of the workforce in the use of the tool.

Training the Workforce To Use the Tools

Denver Health selected three tools to implement in the redesign process:

• **PDSA**, which was in current use by the workforce, would continue to be used because the workforce had extensive experience and familiarity with this approach.

• **Lean** was chosen as the principal tool set for process redesign as it appeared to best address the major issues observed in the current processes. Its focus on waste afforded Denver Health the most opportunity to reduce expenses—a step that was clearly necessary in order to survive in face of growing numbers of uninsured and decreasing revenues. Its focus on value from the customer perspective fit the customer service need. It also fit the organization in that it appeared to require a great deal of presence on the “floor” with observation, substantial intuitiveness, rapid-cycle improvement, and broad-based employee involvement and empowerment.
• Talent profiling was selected as a valuable asset in matching employees with roles. This is particularly important in health care, an industry that is experiencing shortages and high turnover rates in some health care professions.

After selecting the tool, the training strategy must be developed:
• Who will be trained?
• Who will do the training?
• How will the content of the training curriculum be determined?

All institutions, both in health care and in other industries (especially service and manufacturing) that have undergone substantial redesign or transformation, have committed to training the workforce in using the chosen tools. However, there are different approaches to training the workforce:
• Intensively train all employees in using the tools.
• Conduct “just in time” training for team members as they are assigned to work on projects.
• Conduct general training for all or many employees coupled with extensive training of a small cadre of employees.

There are advantages and disadvantages to each approach to training the workforce. At Denver Health, training the workforce in PDSA involved general concept training prior to the beginning of this redesign project.

For the two new tools, Denver Health will adopt the strategy of general training for many employees with intensive training of a few. For Lean training, all executive team members and all physician department chairs will receive an introduction to Lean principles and tools; all middle managers will be trained in a broad overview of the tool.

Twenty-five employees including three physician department chairs were intensively trained to become experts in Lean production and rapid process improvement projects in order to facilitate projects. These 25 employees (“Black Belts”) represent the majority of the hospital system’s departments and a broad array of disciplines.

The Lean training tool was developed in collaboration with the Mid-America Manufacturing Technology Center Association (MAMTC). MAMTC is a nonprofit service organization that helps small and mid-size manufacturers increase their sales and productivity, reduce costs, and improve quality. One of the primary tools they use to help manufacturers is Lean training. Denver Health collaborated with MAMTC to adapt the Lean curriculum to health care by using examples from actual Denver Health hospital operations.

The following courses were provided to the staff:
• Lean Overview and Introduction
• Lean 101
• Value Stream Mapping
• Tools-5S System
• Tools -Setup and preparation reduction
• Tools-Standardized work

There are various consultants and training programs available to teach Lean production tools. Some have begun to adapt their curriculum to the service industry, particularly health care.

The Denver Health workforce will be trained in talent profiling using a similar approach to the Lean training approach. There will be a broad overview of the talent profiling tools for executives, physicians, and middle managers; a group of employees who will be those primarily involved in hiring staff will receive extensive training in using the talent profiling methods. Denver Health will work with a private firm on creating these profiles for the hospital workforce.

**Strategies for Translating Information Into Proposed Projects for Implementation**

The lessons learned from external and internal data gathering were focused on three components of the system:
- People
- Processes
- Communication and culture

Therefore, the redesign projects and the system transformation which emerged from this extensive planning effort focus on these three areas. A strategy was developed for the approach to implementation of system-wide transformation through this information. The following describes the proposed projects for the subsequent implementation phase.

**People**
- Lesson learned: The right person must be in the right role.
  - Planned response: Talent profiling.
- Lesson learned: People should function at the highest level of their knowledge and skill.
  - Planned response: Increase role of nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants. Expand ability of non-physician professionals in writing orders.
- Lesson learned: Create champions.
  - Planned response: Establish cadre of employees with unique skills—the experts in Lean.
- Lesson learned: Health care workers need new tools to allow them to redesign processes.
  - Planned response: Training in Lean, adoption of Clinical Microsystem concept for work groups, and talent profiling.

**Processes**
- Lesson learned: Efficient, effective, timely, and accurate process flow requires use of real-time data on products and people.
  - Planned response: Develop mini command center for movement of materials and supplies and pilot test the use of radio frequency identification for one type of equipment.
• Lesson learned: Match work teams with work demands.
  o Planned response: Change shift times and geographic distribution of workers for certain processes.

• Lesson learned: System transformation is best accomplished through a balance of many, well chosen rapid-cycle projects and system projects. Core process redesign is best accomplished by those engaged in the process.
  o Planned response: The 25 trained “Black Belts” will conduct 50 rapid-cycle projects per year. Their process improvements projects will be focused on processes in their assigned and familiar areas of work responsibility. A small number of system-wide projects of high strategic value will be undertaken.

Criteria were also developed to help select both system and small rapid cycle projects. These selection criteria are expected to help guide those trained in Lean tools in identifying projects and increasing the probability that these projects would be successful. Project selection will focus on those with:
- High strategic value.
- Substantial inefficiencies.
- Identified champions.
- A team that is ready for the task.
- Good (albeit not assured) chance of success.
- Institutional resources to bring project to completion.
- Measurable outcomes.

**Communication and Culture**

• Lesson learned: Effective communication must be timely, complete, accurate, and come to closure. Communication can be synchronous or asynchronous. The former is necessary in health care, but the latter is more efficient.
  o Planned response: Develop multiple types of structured communication for synchronous communication, including:
    ▪ Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation (SBAR) for nurse-physician communication.
    ▪ Defined escalation processes for clinical circumstances.
    ▪ Multidisciplinary rounds with daily care plans.
    ▪ Huddles/time outs.
    ▪ Use of wireless devices.

Institute multiple approaches for asynchronous communication, including:
- Text paging.
- Secure e-mail.
- Computerized provider order entry.
- Picture archiving and communication system.
- Feasibility assessment of single integrated (across all disciplines) electronic record on a clinical service.

• Lesson learned: Create a culture of accountability and continuous improvement.
Planned response: Develop with employees a set of expected and preferred behaviors.

- Lesson learned: Immediate rewards are important as incentives for improvement and alignment of corporate and employee goals.
  - Planned response: New employee bonus/rewards program.

- Lesson learned: Corporate and employee goals must be aligned.
  - Planned response: New bonus/rewards program.

**System Metrics**

During the implementation phase of process redesign, those involved will want to know whether the process changes have improved the health care system. One can develop these system metrics from the initial perspectives that were chosen at the beginning of the process. As noted above, for Denver Health these were efficiency, patient safety, quality, customer service, workforce development, and/or architecture/environment.

Defining the system measures before the project is started permits one to assess in advance if the measurement truly reflects the desired outcomes and determine if the data are available to create the measure. It is important to have the ability to acquire baseline (pre-project) data to measure the effectiveness of the change or intervention. It is important to develop a set of metrics for evaluating the impact of the many process improvements that will be implemented throughout the hospital system. In order for there to be replication of redesign efforts in other hospital systems, there needs to be an incentive for hospitals to invest in this effort. Both system-wide metrics and individual process improvement metrics need to be developed.

Some common system metrics that can be considered are described in the following table. This table describes a variety of financial, operational, clinical, and employee variables and maps them to each of the six perspectives. For example, cost per discharge is listed as a variable and it maps to efficiency. Many variables represent more than one perspective, emphasizing the need to consider all six perspectives with system-wide transformation. Many of these system metrics are commonly reported by hospitals for ongoing operations and management, such as average length of stay (ALOS) and cost per discharge. Other metrics may require primary data collection, such as total travel distance and discharge process time.

Given that there will be many concurrent rapid-cycle projects and system-wide projects, it may not be possible to identify which projects, singly or in combination were responsible for the change in a specific metric or metrics. In order to transform the system, it is not feasible to conduct one project at a time. However, each individual rapid cycle and system project should have specific metrics that address system-wide goals and perspectives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Patient Safety</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Customer Service</th>
<th>Workforce Development</th>
<th>Architecture/Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JCAHO Core Measures¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Discharge²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTE per Adj Occupied Bed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOS (Total)²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOS for Top 10 Diagnoses</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Wait Time in ED for Hospital Bed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Productivity (Relative Value Units)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Errors³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errors Related to Procedure/Treatment or Test³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cardiac Arrests (cor-0)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-Admission Rates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Travel Distance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Complaint Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Satisfaction⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Process Time</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Adjusted Mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse Turnover Rate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse Vacancy Rate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Turnover Rate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Vacancy Rates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) Core Measures were designed to permit more rigorous comparisons using standardized, evidenced based measures in the areas of acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, pneumonia, pregnancy and related conditions, and surgical infection prevention. A description of these measures can be found on [http://www.jcaho.org/pms/core+measures/core+measures.htm](http://www.jcaho.org/pms/core+measures/core+measures.htm).

² Metric can be compared pre- and post-implementation of process improvements and to University HealthSystem Consortium (UHC) benchmark. UHC, formed in 1984, is an alliance of academic health centers situated mainly in the United States. As a membership organization, UHC provides its 90 full members and 123 associate members with a variety of helpful resources aimed at improving performance levels in clinical, operational, and financial areas.

³ The UHC Patient Safety Net is a data collection and reporting tool that allows member hospitals to identify and report patient safety issues. Through this system, Denver Health reports patient safety events and therefore will be able to identify improvements in patient safety.

⁴ Press Ganey administers patient satisfaction surveys to almost 900 hospitals throughout the country. On a weekly basis the hospitals send Press Ganey a list of inpatients for which Press Ganey selects a random sample to survey. Press Ganey calculates the percentiles and scores for these hospitals, including trends, and distributes results on an annual basis. Denver Health has been using this survey since 2001. More information can be obtained at [http://www.pressganey.com/](http://www.pressganey.com/).
# Form A. Site Visit/Conference Call Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: <em><strong><strong>/____/</strong></strong></em></th>
<th>Time: _______ AM/PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interviewer(s):</td>
<td>____________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site/institution:</td>
<td>____________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person(s) interviewed:</td>
<td>____________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. What was the motivation going in for a radical redesign?
2. What are the goals for transforming your organization?
3. What steps did you take to transform your organization?
   - With leadership/management?
   - With the frontline workforce?
   - With culture?
   - With input, from your customers?
4. What were the timelines for this transformation for each of the steps?
5. From your personal experience in health care, what processes would you change?
6. What were the critical juncture points?
7. What worked well during this transformation? What did not work well?
8. What were the problems/issues that you confronted? What would you approach differently?
9. Which of the components of these processes are transferable to other organizations, particularly health care? Which ones are not?
10. What do you estimate were the costs of this transformation and the components of these costs?
11. What was the role of information technology?
12. What was the role of human resources?
13. Where did you need to make organizational structure or key competency changes and how were these accomplished?
14. Can you describe the most important end-results?
15. Can you name the tools/concepts that you applied? For example, Six Sigma, Lean, etc.
16. What other industries did you look at as for examples of redesign?
17. What were the critical factors involved in maintaining the success and visibility of the projects?
Form B. Employee Focus Group Questions

Date: ___/___/____  Time: ______AM/PM
Department(s): __________________________

1. Are there parts of your job that you think someone else should be doing instead of you?
2. Are there things you think should be part of your job that someone else is doing?
3. What are the things that keep you from working efficiently?
4. Are there things you see happening to patients that you think you should tell someone but you don’t know whom to tell?
5. Given what you see every day, if you were a patient in the hospital what would you want to be different?
6. What things would you want to do for yourself if you were a patient? What things would you want your family to be able to do?

Notes:
Form C. Confirmation Letter for Patient/Family Focus Group

Date

Patient Name
Address
City, State, Zip

Dear (PATIENT NAME):

Re: _______________________ Patient Focus Group, April 13, 2004

We would like to thank you and your family member for agreeing to participate in _____________ focus group on improving patient care on _____________, from ____________. Please arrive by _____________ to register as a participant. The group meeting will held in meeting room_____________________ and will begin promptly. Doors will be closed by _____________. In an effort to show our appreciation for your willingness to participate in this group, you and your family member will each receive snacks and $ __________ cash.

Below is a map to the _______________________. Parking is available in the public parking lot behind the building. Parking coins will be provided to you during the focus group session to allow you to exit the parking lot at no charge. Directions are provided below and a map is attached. If you have any questions, or need transportation assistance please contact _________________.

Thank you,

Directions:
Questions for Patients and Families After a Recent Hospital Stay

1. What were the most important events during your hospital stay?
2. What were the most positive?
3. Where there any negative events during your hospital stay?
   - How would you have changed it, or how would you have like to have seen it go?
4. Did you receive enough information to make informed decisions?
   - Was the information presented in such a way that it was understandable?
   - What would you change?
5. How much of their time is down time and how would they rather use it?
6. What type of issues/events during the patient’s stay could be categorized as redundant or repetitive and how this affected the stay?
7. How the patient may want to be engaged in the process of care?
8. What types of information would you like to have access to, that would improve your stay?
9. How would the patient like to use technology such as e-mail?
10. Do you or your family wish to take part in your care? How would you like to participate?
   - Eat in a cafeteria.
   - Walk to other departments for tests/x-rays.
   - Assist with bathing activities.
   - Would you like to have access to your chart?

Non-English speaking question:
If your care provider spoke your native language would you trust them more or less than someone who needs a translator?

Notes:
Form E. Patient/Family Focus Group Consent Form

Project Description
You (you equals you/your child) are being asked to take part in a research study of improving the way hospitals work and provide care to patients. You are being asked to be in this study because you or a family member was a patient in the hospital at ________________, where this study is taking place. You will be part of a group of _____ people. The other members of the group were also selected because they or their family member were in the hospital at ________________. Each member of the group will be asked about their and/or their family member’s hospital experience. You will be asked for ways you think this experience could have been improved. You will also be asked about the parts of your hospital experience that you thought were good.

Up to _____ local subjects will be enrolled in this research study.

Procedures
If you agree to take part in this study, we will ask you to read and sign this consent form. You will also be asked to participate in a focus group that will take place at ______________ sometime during February 2004 through October 2004. This focus group will meet once, for 2-3 hours, to discuss your or your family’s hospital experience at ________________.

Discomforts and Risks
The study may include risks that are unknown at this time.

Benefits
This study is designed for the researcher to learn more about improving hospital care. This study is not designed to treat any illness or to improve your health.

Study Sponsor
The sponsor for this study is the _______________________________________________________.

Cost to Subject
There is no cost to you for participating in this study. You will be paid $_____ for your participation in this study. If you arrive late or leave the focus group session before it has been completed, you will not be paid for your participation.

Voluntary Participation and Study Withdrawal
Taking part in this study is voluntary. You have the right to choose not to take part in this study. If you do not take part in the study, your doctor will still take care of you. You will not lose any benefits or medical care to which you are entitled. If you choose to take part, you have the right to stop at any time. If there are any new findings during the study that may affect whether you want to continue to take part, you will be told about them. The study doctor may decide to stop your participation without your permission, if he or she thinks that being in the study may cause you harm, or for any other reason. Also the sponsor may stop the study at any time. The investigator may withdraw you from the study if your participation in the focus group is having a negative effect on the other participants of if you arrive to the focus group session late or leave the session early.
Invitation for Questions
The researcher carrying out this study is __________________. You may ask any questions you have now. If you have questions later, you may call _________________. You will be given a copy of this form to keep.

Confidentiality
We will try to keep your research records confidential, but it cannot be guaranteed. Records that identify you (including your medical records) and the consent form signed by you, may be looked at by the following people:

- Federal agencies that oversee human subject research
- Institutional Review Board
- The investigator and research team for this study
- The sponsor or an agent for the sponsor
- Regulatory officials from the institution where the research is being conducted, to ensure compliance with policies or monitor the safety of the study

The results of this research may be presented at meetings or in published articles. However, your name will be kept private. You will also be asked to sign a separate authorization form. This form will explain who will have access to your protected health information.

Injury and Compensation
You should inform your care provider(s) if you decide to participate in this research study. If you have questions about injury related to the research, you may call ________________ at ________________ and/or your private physician. ________________ should be informed about any injury you experience while you take part in this study. If you are hurt by this research, we will provide medical care if you want it, but you will have to pay for the care that is needed.

AUTHORIZATION:
I have read this paper about the study or it was read to me. I understand the possible risk and benefits of this study. I know that being in this study is voluntary. I choose to be in this study. I know I can stop being in this study and I will still get the usual medical care. I will get a copy of this consent form. (Initial all the previous pages of the consent form).

Signature: _________________________  Print Name ____________________  Date___________

subject

Print Name ____________________  Date______________

child

Consent form explained by: ________________Print Name ________________ Date-__________

Investigator ______________________________   Date ______________
Form F. Staff Process Flow Observation Form

Note: Complete each field as necessary based on the staff activities observed.

Observer Name: ___________________________ Department/Area: ___________________________ Page: ___ of ___
Date: ____/____/____  Staff Member: _______________________________

Position Title _____________________________

Time/Shift: ___________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity, Comments</th>
<th>Interacted With</th>
<th>Time Start</th>
<th>Time End</th>
<th>Distance Traveled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Form G. Patient Process Flow Observation Form

Note: Complete each field as necessary based on the experience of the patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observer Name: ___________________________</th>
<th>Department/Area: ___________________________</th>
<th>Page: ___ of ___</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date: <strong><strong>/</strong></strong>/____</td>
<td>Patient No.: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)</td>
<td>Time/Shift: ________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity, Comments</th>
<th>Interacted With</th>
<th>Time Start</th>
<th>Time End</th>
<th>Distance Traveled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Form H. Staff Member Consent Form

Project Description
You are being asked to take part in a research study that is looking at ways to improve the way hospitals work and provide care to patients. You are being asked to be in this study because you are a medical staff member at ______________, where this study is taking place. You will be observed for the duration of your shift in the hospital. We will document where you go in the hospital and how long you stay there. We will also document the medical care you provide, the people you communicate with, and other tasks performed. The duration of these events will also be documented.

Up to ___ local subjects will be enrolled in this research study.

Procedures
If you agree to take part in this study, your duties during you shift will not be changed in any way. We will ask you to read and sign this consent form only. From this point on, we will observe your movements throughout the hospital until the end of your shift. The information collected that could identify you on an individual basis will be kept in our records until ____________ and during this time will be kept confidential. You are being asked to be in this study because you are clinical staff that provides direct patient care in the _________________ Department.

Discomforts and Risks
The study may include risks that are unknown at this time.

Benefits
This study is designed for the researcher to learn more about improving hospital care. This study is not designed to treat any illness or to improve your health.

Study Sponsor
The sponsor for this study is the ________________________________________________________________.

Cost to Subject
There is no cost to you for participating in this study. You will not be paid for participation in this study.

Voluntary Participation and Study Withdrawal
Taking part in this study is voluntary. You have the right to choose not to take part in this study. If you do not take part in the study, your doctor will still take care of you. You will not lose any benefits or medical care to which you are entitled. If you choose to take part, you have the right to stop at any time. If there are any new findings during the study that may affect whether you want to continue to take part, you will be told about them. The study doctor may decide to stop your participation without your permission, if he or she thinks that being in the study may cause you harm, or for any other reason. Also the sponsor may stop the study at any time.

Invitation for Questions
The researcher carrying out this study is _______________. You may ask any questions you have now. If you have questions later, you may call _______________ at (___)___-____. You will be given a copy of this form to keep.
If you have questions regarding your rights as a research subject, please call the Institutional Review Board office at (___)___-____.

Confidentiality
We will try to keep your research records confidential, but it cannot be guaranteed. Records that identify you (including your medical records) and the consent form signed by you, may be looked at by the following people:

Federal agencies that oversee human subject research
Institution Review Board
The investigator and research team for this study
The sponsor or an agent for the sponsor
Regulatory officials from the institution where the research is being conducted, to ensure compliance with policies or monitor the safety of the study

The results of this research may be presented at meetings or in published articles. However, your name will be kept private. You will also be asked to sign a separate authorization form. This form will explain who will have access to your protected health information.

Injury and Compensation
You should inform your care provider(s) if you decide to participate in this research study. If you have questions about injury related to the research, you may call ________________ at (___)___-____ and/or your private physician. ________________ should be informed about any injury you experience while you take part in this study.

AUTHORIZATION:
I have read this paper about the study or it was read to me. I understand the possible risk and benefits of this study. I know that being in this study is voluntary. I choose to be in this study. I know I can stop being in this study and I will still get the usual medical care. I will get a copy of this consent form. (Initial all the previous pages of the consent form).

Signature:_________________________ Print Name ____________________ Date___________

Subject
Consent form explained by:____________________Print Name________________ Date__________

Investigator________________________Date________________
Form I. Patient Consent Form

Project Description
You (you equals you/your child) are being asked to take part in a research study that is looking at ways to improve the way hospitals work and provide care to patients. You are being asked to be in this study because you are a patient at ______________, where this study is taking place. You will be observed for the duration of your hospitalization. We will write down where you go in the hospital and how long you stay there. We will also write down who gives you medical care and what they do to you.

Up to ___ local subjects will be enrolled in this research study.

Procedures
If you agree to take part in this study, your care will not be changed in any way. We will ask you to only read and sign this consent form. From this point on, we will observe your movements throughout the hospital until you are discharged and not ask anything else of you. The information collected that could identify you as a patient will be kept in our records until October 2004. Patients have been selected to participate in this study if they are admitted to the hospital or visit the emergency room at ______________ from ________ through ________ 2004.

Discomforts and Risks
The study may include risks that are unknown at this time.

Benefits
This study is designed for the researcher to learn more about ways to improve hospital care. This study is not designed to treat any illness or to improve your health.

Study Sponsor
The sponsor for this study is the ________________________________________________________.

Cost to Subject
There is no cost to you for participating in this study. There are no procedures or drugs required by the study. All of the costs associated with your hospital stay will be billed to you or your insurance, just as they would without participation in this study. You will not be paid for participation in this study.

Voluntary Participation and Study Withdrawal
Taking part in this study is voluntary. You have the right to choose not to take part in this study. If you do not take part in the study, your doctor will still take care of you. You will not lose any benefits or medical care to which you are entitled. If you choose to take part, you have the right to stop at any time. If there are any new findings during the study that may affect whether you want to continue to take part, you will be told about them. The study doctor may decide to stop your participation without your permission, if he or she thinks that being in the study may cause you harm, or for any other reason. Also the sponsor may stop the study at any time.

Invitation for Questions
The researcher carrying out this study is ______________. You may ask any questions you have now. If you have questions later, you may call ______________ at (___)____. You will be given a copy of this form to keep.
If you have questions regarding your rights as a research subject, please call the Institutional Review Board office at (___) ___-____.

Confidentiality
We will try to keep your research records confidential, but it cannot be guaranteed. Records that identify you (including your medical records) and the consent form signed by you, may be looked at by the following people:

- Federal agencies that oversee human subject research
- Institution Review Board
- The investigator and research team for this study
- The sponsor or an agent for the sponsor
- Regulatory officials from the institution where the research is being conducted, to ensure compliance with policies or monitor the safety of the study

The results of this research may be presented at meetings or in published articles. However, your name will be kept private. You will also be asked to sign a separate authorization form. This form will explain who will have access to your protected health information.

Injury and Compensation
You should inform your care provider(s) if you decide to participate in this research study. If you have questions about injury related to the research, you may call ______ at (___)____-____ and/or your private physician. ________ should be informed about any injury you experience while you take part in this study. If you are hurt by this research, we will provide medical care if you want it, but you will have to pay for the care that is needed.

AUTHORIZATION:
I have read this paper about the study or it was read to me. I understand the possible risk and benefits of this study. I know that being in this study is voluntary. I choose to be (or to have my child) in this study. I know I can stop being in this study and I (my child) will still get the usual medical care. I will get a copy of this consent form. Initial all the previous pages of consent form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature: __________________________</th>
<th>Print Name __________________________</th>
<th>Date __________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Print Name________________________</td>
<td>Date __________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature: _________________________</td>
<td>Print Name__________________________</td>
<td>Date __________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>legally authorized rep./proxy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent form explained by:___________</td>
<td>Print Name___________</td>
<td>Date_________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigator________________________</td>
<td>Date___________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Acronyms and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adj</td>
<td>adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOS</td>
<td>Average length of stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>Computed axial tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Chief executive officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cor</td>
<td>heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>Full time equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/min</td>
<td>minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>Health care team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMO</td>
<td>Health maintenance organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB</td>
<td>Institutional review board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>med</td>
<td>medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDSA</td>
<td>Plan, Do, Study, Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Appendix B. Definitions**

**Staff Observation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjust equipment</td>
<td>Adjusting any type of patient specific equipment such as an IV pump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Performing administrative or office type duties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer call light</td>
<td>Answering a call light initiated by a patient or a patient’s family member.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer telephone</td>
<td>Answering the telephone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering page</td>
<td>Responding to a page.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answers alarm</td>
<td>Answering an alarm on patient-specific equipment such as an IV pump or cardiac monitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Assessing a patient’s condition. A provider or a nurse can do this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend to patient</td>
<td>Any nonspecific contact with the patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending class</td>
<td>Attending an educational class.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathe patient</td>
<td>Bathing or washing a patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls for assistance</td>
<td>Calling for assistance to help with a task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charting</td>
<td>Documenting in the patient’s medical record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charting (flow)</td>
<td>Documenting on the patient’s bedside flow sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charting (meds sheet)</td>
<td>Documenting on the patient’s medication sheet (usually performed by a nurse).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charting (orders)</td>
<td>Writing orders in the patient’s medical record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charting (progress note)</td>
<td>Documenting the patient’s progress in the medical record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking for orders</td>
<td>Checking the medical record for new orders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning</td>
<td>Cleaning a patient room post-discharge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor-0 or Code blue</td>
<td>Working in a code or Cor situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult with nurse</td>
<td>Discussing a patient’s medical care with a nurse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult with provider</td>
<td>Discussing a patient’s medical care with a provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushing meds</td>
<td>Crushing or preparing medications before administration to a patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down time</td>
<td>Time that is not used to benefit the patient, such as eating lunch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entering orders</td>
<td>Entering orders into a CPOE (computerized physician order entry) system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gives meds</td>
<td>Administering medications to a patient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lab draw  Obtaining a specimen from a patient.
Looking up labs  Looking up laboratory results on a computer.
Making copies  Making photocopies.
Monitors EKGs  Watching the unit’s central cardiac monitor station.
Obtain vital signs  Obtaining vital signs on a patient.
Obtains medication  Obtaining medication(s) from the pharmacy or a medication distribution system.
Office work  Administrative duties related to the operations of a department.
Pages provider  Paging a physician.
Paperwork  Working on nonmedical paperwork (e.g., payroll).
Place page  Paging other staff personnel.
Procedure (simple)  A nonsurgical procedure usually performed in the patient’s room (e.g., patient’s catheter).
Procedure (invasive)  An invasive procedure not performed in the operating room (usually performed at the bedside (e.g., a central line).
Pyxis med station  Automated medication-dispensing station.
Report  Giving or receiving report from another person, shift, or unit.
Review med sheet  Reviewing the patient’s medication sheet (usually performed by a nurse).
Review chart  Reviewing the medical record, checking for new orders, or checking for order accuracy.
Rounds  Attending patient care rounds (usually attended by physicians).
Searching  Searching for some item not readily available.
Supplies  Obtaining needed supplies.
Surgery  Performing surgery. This is done in the operating room.
Talk to family  Speaking with a patient’s family member.
Technical problem  Working on or fixing some type of technical problem, usually related to computer systems.
Telephone call  Making a telephone call.
Transport  Moving a patient from one area of the hospital to another.
Travel  Moving from one area of the hospital to another.
Travel linen  Traveling to a linen closet to obtain linens.
Travel medications  Traveling to a medication station or a medication room with the
Travel supply  Traveling to a supply station or a supply room with the purpose of obtaining supplies.

Waiting  A period of inactivity while waiting.

### Patient Observation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjust equipment</td>
<td>A clinical person makes any type of adjustment to patient-specific equipment such as an IV pump. (An example would be a nurse adjusting the infusion rate on the IV pump.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission process</td>
<td>Patient undergoes any part of the admission process, such as an initial history and physical.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulates with nurse</td>
<td>Patient walks around the unit with the nurse in attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer alarm</td>
<td>A nurse or other clinician enters the room to attend to an alarm on a piece of medical equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask/answer questions</td>
<td>Patient answers or asks questions of a clinical person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Clinician assesses the patient’s condition (may be part of a history and physical).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend to patient</td>
<td>Any nonspecific contact with the patient by a clinician.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby assessment</td>
<td>Assessment of a newborn by a clinician.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby to/from nursery</td>
<td>Transportation of a newborn to or from the nursery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathe</td>
<td>Patient is bathed or washed by a member of the health care team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood draw</td>
<td>Patient’s blood is taken either by a clinician or lab personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care question</td>
<td>Patient asks question(s) of a clinician regarding his or her care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT scan</td>
<td>Patient undergoes a CAT scan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check on patient</td>
<td>A clinician looks in on the patient to make sure everything is OK but does not do anything specific or have actual hands-on contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor-0 or Code blue</td>
<td>The patient is involved in a cor or a code situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>Patient is discharged from the hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge education</td>
<td>Patient undergoes education before discharge (i.e., education on medications, wound care, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss care</td>
<td>Patient discusses the plan or course of care with a clinician.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating</td>
<td>Patient is eating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment placed/checked</td>
<td>A clinician sets up and/or checks medical equipment in the patient’s room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluation of the patient’s condition by a clinician.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examine patient</td>
<td>Hands-on examination of the patient by a clinician.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed baby</td>
<td>Feeding of the newborn by hospital personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gets dressed</td>
<td>The patient gets dressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab draw</td>
<td>The patient has a specimen taken either by a clinician or lab personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linen change</td>
<td>Bed or linen is changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linens</td>
<td>Linens are delivered into the patient’s room by hospital personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor patient</td>
<td>A clinician is at the bedside monitoring the patient but does not have any other contact with the patient. (Often the patient is unaware of this act.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No patient activity</td>
<td>No activity from the patient. (The patient may be resting or sleeping during this time.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paperwork</td>
<td>Patient fills out paperwork (i.e., admission or discharge papers).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient education</td>
<td>Education of the patient and/or the patient’s family by hospital staff regarding their medical condition or clinical care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient leaves</td>
<td>Patient leaves the hospital without being discharged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placed on monitor</td>
<td>Patient is placed on a cardiac monitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure (simple)</td>
<td>A nonsurgical procedure usually performed in the patient’s room such as a catheter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure (invasive)</td>
<td>An invasive procedure performed at the bedside such as a central line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives food</td>
<td>Patient receives food from hospital personnel (usually by food service personnel).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives meds</td>
<td>Patient receives medications from a clinician (usually a nurse).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td>Patient recovers after surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Clinical or change of shift report at the patient’s bedside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restroom</td>
<td>Patient uses the restroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room maintenance</td>
<td>Maintenance personnel enter the patient’s room to perform general maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rounds</td>
<td>Medical rounds performed at the patient’s bedside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT treatment</td>
<td>Patient receives a respiratory therapy treatment (can be given by a respiratory therapist or a nurse).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift report</td>
<td>Clinical change of shift report at the patient’s bedside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>Medical supplies delivered into the patient’s room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Patient undergoes surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone call</td>
<td>Patient makes a telephone call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test performed</td>
<td>Patient undergoes a noninvasive test such as an EKG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy</td>
<td>Patient undergoes therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Hospital personnel transport patient from one area of the hospital to another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses call light</td>
<td>Patient uses a call light to call for assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting</td>
<td>Patient visits with family or friends in the patient’s room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital signs</td>
<td>Vital signs obtained by clinical personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>Period of inactivity while waiting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Patient walks around the unit without assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>Patient undergoes an X-ray procedure, either in the patient’s room or in the radiology department. (This does not include CAT scans, MRIs, or interventional procedures.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>