When babies have their days and nights mixed up, it puts stress on the parents, disrupting their sleep, causing fatigue, and even leading to depression. Ambient light regulates the sleep-awake cycle in adults, however, it is less clear what role it plays in developing the circadian rhythms of infants. This paper takes a look at how light is related to infants’ circadian rest-activity patterns.

This intensive within-subject design included a convenience sample of 22 infants who wore a light-and-activity monitoring device for 7 consecutive days at home. During the 7-day, in-home monitoring, a light-activity actigraphic monitor was placed around the infant’s ankle to record activity and illumination exposure. In addition, the mother recorded the infant’s sleep-wake times, as well as when the monitor was removed for bathing or when the baby was exposed to external motion such as stroller or career walking. Researchers examined the associations between light exposure and circadian rest-activity rhythm parameters using correlation and regression analyses. Data were collected between 2006 and 2007. All analyses were conducted in SPSS 14.0.

Infants spent one-eighth of their daytime hours in environments with >100-lux light levels. Researchers found a relatively large statistically significant relation between the peak of light exposure and the peak of activity. The data indicate that increased duration of daily exposure to >100 lux of illumination and increased amplitude of circadian rhythm of light were associated with stronger circadian patterns of infant activity. The study’s results indicate an association between light and activity
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**Key Concepts/Context**

When babies have their days and nights mixed up, it puts stress on the parents, disrupting their sleep, causing fatigue, and even leading to depression. Ambient light regulates the sleep-awake cycle in adults, however, it is less clear what role it plays in developing the circadian rhythms of infants. This paper takes a look at how light is related to infants’ circadian rest-activity patterns.

**Methods**

This intensive within-subject design included a convenience sample of 22 infants who wore a light-and-activity monitoring device for 7 consecutive days at home. During the 7-day, in-home monitoring, a light-activity actigraphic monitor was placed around the infant’s ankle to record activity and illumination exposure. In addition, the mother recorded the infant’s sleep-wake times, as well as when the monitor was removed for bathing or when the baby was exposed to external motion such as stroller or career walking. Researchers examined the associations between light exposure and circadian rest-activity rhythm parameters using correlation and regression analyses. Data were collected between 2006 and 2007. All analyses were conducted in SPSS 14.0.

**Findings**

Infants spent one-eighth of their daytime hours in environments with >100-lux light levels. Researchers found a relatively large statistically significant relation between the peak of light exposure and the peak of activity. The data indicate that increased duration of daily exposure to >100 lux of illumination and increased amplitude of circadian rhythm of light were associated with stronger circadian patterns of infant activity. The study’s results indicate an association between light and activity
patterns. Further, according to these findings, it’s possible that exposing infants to moderate light levels may be an easy and inexpensive nursing intervention during the early postnatal weeks to help infants adjust to a more normal circadian rhythm.

Limitations

There are a few limitations to consider when interpreting the study’s results. First, this was a natural observation study conducted in the home environment. The researchers did not try to alter the infant’s daily feeding and sleep–wake schedules or to manipulate his/her light exposure. Therefore, it is not possible to infer a causal relationship. Second, this study included a small highly selected sample of infants with limited range and low variability in light exposure. A bigger sample size might offer more accurate population estimates of natural-light exposure experiences. Third, because of the naturalistic nature of this study, it is hard to completely dissociate the entraining effect of other social stimuli from that of the light–dark cycle.